UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/601,702	06/24/2003	Roland Hahn	033171-47	7556
25570 7590 10/20/2008 ROBERTS MLOTKOWSKI SAFRAN & COLE, P.C. Intellectual Property Department P.O. Box 10064			EXAMINER	
			DESAI, ANISH P	
MCLEAN, VA 22102-8064		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1794	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/20/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

lgallaugher@rmsclaw.com dbeltran@rmsclaw.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/601,702	HAHN, ROLAND				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	ANISH DESAI	1794				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 No</u>	ovember 2007.					
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	action is non-final.					
<i>i</i> —	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3,4,7-11,15,17 and 19-26</u> is/are pen	ding in the application					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) <u>1,3,4,7-11,15,17 and 19-26</u> are subjected to:	et to restriction and/or election re-	nuiromont				
0)(2) Claim(3) 1,5,4,7-11,15,17 and 19-20 are subject	ct to restriction and/or election rec	quirement.				
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	te				

Art Unit: 1794

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. It is noted that this Application contains claims directed towards two distinct inventions, but the previous Examiner did not make a restriction requirement. However, it is respectfully submitted that a restriction requirement (including a species election) is necessary based on the reasons provided below. Thus, in accordance with MPEP 811, a new restriction requirement is made. Applicant is requested to elect a single invention from the inventions listed below as a Group I and Group II. Once Applicant replies to this restriction requirement, Applicant's arguments received on 11/01/07 will be fully addressed in a subsequent Office Action.
- 2. Applicant is respectfully advised that since the Examiner has required a restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

Art Unit: 1794

Election/Restrictions

3. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1, 3, 4, 7-11, 15, and 17, drawn to adhesive tape, classified in class 428, subclass 343.
- II. Claims 19-26, drawn to a process for producing a sealing element for use in motor vehicles, classified in class 156, subclass 60.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 4. Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case the product (i.e. adhesive tape) as claimed can be used in a materially different process such as a packaging tape to wrap gift articles.
- 5. Restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper because all these inventions listed in this action are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious search and examination burden if restriction were not required because one or more of the following reasons apply:
 - (a) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification;
 - (b) the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter;

Art Unit: 1794

(c) the inventions require a different field of search (for example, searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries);

- (d) the prior art applicable to one invention would not likely be applicable to another invention;
- (e) the inventions are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C.101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.
- 6. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.
- 7. The election of an invention may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention.
- 8. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable upon the elected invention.

Art Unit: 1794

9. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 10. Additionally, Applicant is required to elect a single species under 35 U.S.C.121 as set forth below.
- 11. If Applicant elects Group I (claims 1, 3, 4, 7-11, 15, and 17, drawn to adhesive tape), then please elect a **single** intermediate layer from the following species (A-E):

Species A: the intermediate adhesion layer between... is formed by a film (claim 7)

Species B: the intermediate adhesion layer between...is formed by an enamel (claim 8)

Species C: the intermediate adhesion layer between...formed of a cement other than silicone cement (claim 9)

Species D: the intermediate adhesion layer between...formed by a laminate cloth strip which has been laminated onto the carrier element (claim 10).

Species E: the intermediate adhesion layer between...formed by a surface of the carrier element which has been subjected to a corona treatment (claim 11).

12. Similarly, if Applicant elects Group II (claims 19-26, drawn to a process for producing a sealing element for use in motor vehicles), then please elect **a single** intermediate layer from the following species (A-E):

Species A: the intermediate adhesion layer is formed by enamel (claim 22)

Application/Control Number: 10/601,702

Species B: the intermediate adhesion layer is formed by cement (claim 23)

Species C: the intermediate adhesion layer is formed by a film which has been applied to the carrier element (claim 24)

Species D: the intermediate adhesion layer is formed by laminating a cloth strip to the carrier element (claim 25)

Species E: the intermediate adhesion layer is formed by treating a surface of the carrier element by means of corona treatment (claim 26)

- 13. The species are independent or distinct because as disclosed the different species have mutually exclusive characteristics for each identified species. In addition, these species are not obvious variants of each other based on the current record.
- 14. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.
- 15. There is an examination and search burden for these patentably distinct species due to their mutually exclusive characteristics. The species require a different field of search (e.g., searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries); and/or the prior art applicable to one species would not likely be applicable to another species; and/or the species are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.
- 16. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a

Application/Control Number: 10/601,702

Art Unit: 1794

claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Page 7

- 17. The election of the species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the election of species requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected species.
- 18. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the species unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other species.
- 19. Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141.
- 20. Due to a complexity involved in the restriction requirement, a telephone call was not made to Applicant's attorney/agent to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Application/Control Number: 10/601,702

Art Unit: 1794

21. The Examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder.

All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

Page 8

22. In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Art Unit: 1794

Conclusion

23. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to ANISH DESAI whose telephone number is (571)272-

6467. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:00AM-4:30PM.

24. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Larry Tarazano can be reached on 571-272-1515. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

25. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/A. D./

Examiner, Art Unit 1794

/Hai Vo/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1794